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## Motivation: Why study flames in a shock tube?



- Limited flame speed data at high unburned gas T (>500 K)
- Shock tubes are ideal reactors for studying high-temperature combustion phenomena
, Tunable T \& P
, Near-instantaneous heating (well-defined time zero)


## Overview of Experiments

Goal: Use a shock tube to extend the temperature regime accessible by existing laminar flame speed measurement approaches

## Laminar flame speed experiments in a shock tube



First, the approach...

## Experimental Setup: Laminar flames in a shock tube



Laminar flames are initiated behind reflected shock waves via laser-induced spark ignition

## Shock tube

- $11.53-\mathrm{cm}$ inner diameter
- 3.63-m driver, 9.73-m driven
- Test times: $3.5-15 \mathrm{~ms}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ driver $)$


## Nd:YAG laser

- $532 \mathrm{~nm}, 5 \mathrm{~ns}$ pulse, $\sim 18 \mathrm{~mJ} /$ pulse

High-speed $\mathrm{OH}^{*}$ emission (306 nm) imaging

- UV-intensified, endwall emission


## OH* Emission Images

Example: raw images ( $\mathrm{OH}^{*}$ emission, 306 nm ) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ in air, $\Phi=1.0,488 \mathrm{~K}, 1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$


Field of View:
Shock tube endwall


- UV-intensified $\mathrm{OH}^{*}$ emission imaging
- 10-40 kHz frame rate
- 90-140 $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{pixel}$
- Field of view:
, $5.17 \times 5.17 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $384 \times 384$ pixels)
, 10 cm from shock tube endwall



## Image Processing



- Local stretch rate ( $\kappa$ ) and burned flame speed ( $S_{b}$ ) calculated at regular intervals around circumference of flame
- Quasi-steady subset of $S_{b}$ vs $\kappa$ data selected for unstretched flame speed extrapolation [1]

$$
S_{b}^{2}-S_{b}^{o} S_{b}=-S_{b}^{o} L_{b} \kappa \quad \rho_{u} S_{u}^{o}=\rho_{b} S_{b}^{o}
$$

## I. Small Hydrocarbons

| Mixture | $\mathbf{C H}_{4} /$ air | $\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{6} /$ air |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Phi$ | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\text {unburned }}(\mathrm{K})$ | $489-573 \mathrm{~K}$ | $449-537 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| Pressure (atm) | $1.0 \pm 0.05$ | $1.0 \pm 0.05$ |

## $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ flame speed measurement results:



- $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ results are $5-10 \%$ higher than model results
, Only one other high- $\mathrm{TCH}_{4}$ data set in literature
- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ results show close agreement with USC Mech results, higher disagreement with Aramco results


## I. Small Hydrocarbons

| Mixture | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} /$ air | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} /$ modified-air |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Phi$ | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\text {unburned }}(\mathrm{K})$ | $391-556 \mathrm{~K}$ | $764-832 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| Pressure (atm) | $1.0 \pm 0.05$ | $1.0 \pm 0.05$ |

## $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ flame speed measurement results:



- Results show excellent agreement with model and (available) literature results

The $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ flame speed results are available in: A.M. Ferris, A.J. Susa, D.F. Davidson, R.K. Hanson, Combust. Flame, 2019, DOI: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2019.04.007


- Disagreement between model results at higher temperatures highlights need for high-T flame speed validation data


## II. Laser Diagnostics

- Two time-multiplexed, scanned-direct absorption diagnostics were used to

, $\mathrm{OH}^{*}$ emission images used to determine timedependent path length, L


## II. Laser Diagnostics: T measurement results

Note: the temperature laser absorption results have not yet been published; the corresponding plots have therefore been removed from the publicly distributed version of this talk.

## Time-resolved temperature measurements show:

- Burned gas temperature increases for the first 5-6 ms, then ultimately plateaus to a final, equilibrium burned gas temperature
- Constant long-time temperature indicates minimal radiative losses

Equilibrium burned gas temperature measurements, measured for each ethane-air flame experiment ( 1 atm, 449-537 K), show:

- Excellent agreement with AramcoMech 3.0 and USC Mech II modeled results across the entire temperature range (within $\pm 3.2 \%$ uncertainty)


## II. Laser Diagnostics: Mole fraction results (equilibrium)

Note: the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ laser absorption results have not yet been published; the corresponding plots have therefore been removed from the publicly distributed version of this talk.

## Equilibrium $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ mole fraction measurements show:

- Good agreement with model results (AramcoMech 3.0, USC Mech II), within uncertainty bounds ( $\pm 9.2 \%$ )


## Equilibrium $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mole fraction measurements show:

- Satisfactory agreement with model results, within uncertainty bounds ( $\pm 10 \%$ )
, Higher scatter likely due to background signal fluctuations


## III. NTC Regime: iso-octane

## Iso-octane burning velocity

 measurements in $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2} / \mathrm{He}$ :- $\Phi=0.9$ fuel in $18 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}, 41 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, 41\% He
- $500-900 \mathrm{~K}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}( \pm 5 \%)$

IDT >> experiment time: no pre-flame reactions expected in bulk gas

## III. NTC Regime: iso-octane

## Iso-octane burning velocity

 measurements in $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} / \mathrm{He}$ :- $\Phi=0.9$ fuel in $18 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}, 41 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, 41\% He
- $500-900 \mathrm{~K}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}( \pm 5 \%)$

1. Burning velocity increases w/T (500-600 K) , Results 20-30\% low of simulation
2. Burning velocity T dependence stronger than modeled (600-750 K)
3. Negative T dependence of burning velocity (750-820 K)
4. Excellent model agreement, then stronger T dependence than modeled (820-900 K)

## Summary \& Future Work

## Summary:

- High-temperature $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ flame speeds show close agreement with literature and model results
- Laser absorption diagnostics were successfully deployed to measure temperature, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
- Iso-octane flame speed results show evidence of NTC behavior - the first such experimental observation


## Future work:

- Extend high-temperature flame speed data sets
, Additional temperatures, pressures, equivalence ratios
- Use quantitative laser diagnostics to probe NTC flame speed behavior (cool flames?)
- Simulate results as spherically expanding flames using DNS
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## Questions?

